

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,291	04/14/2005	Michel Banatre	017346-0186	4654	
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 02/07/201: LARDNER LLP	1	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		PARK, JEONG S			
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
······································	11, 50 20007	2454			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/07/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/531,291	BANATRE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeong S. Park	2454	

		Jeong S. Park	2454				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
ap ap for pe	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request nitinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time s:						
	The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date						
b) 📙	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: It box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set oft in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. Th	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	<u>vicivio</u> ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	and prior to the date of filling a brief	will not be entered be				
(a) (b)	They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
(d)	appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For how The Clark Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [w the new or amended claims would be rejected is proves at the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: lim(s) allowed: lim(s) allowed: lim(s) objected to: lim(s) rejected: 1-11.18 and 36-46 lim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<u>s</u>	he request for reconsideration has been considered but ee Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	oh E. Avellino/ risory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to applicant's arguments "Kado falis to disclose any context criteria for a particular bus to execute or not execute the stop request, all the buses of the designated line will stop upon receipt of such a request. This is known as an "epidemical routing" in the art. Such a system would be completely differ from the claimed system in which only the bus which is the nearest from the bus stop from which the request has been entitled will stop", Saraga teaches as follows:

The travel service comprises a bus which is approaching the next bus stop (equivalent to applicant's nearest bus) on its route and so the answer to the user's request is calculated on the basis of the information now available at the Internet Service Provider (see, e.g., paragraph [0028]):

The system can also provide for a mobile communications device to be located in the bus so that the driver of the bus can be alerted to the fact that a passenger should be expected at the bus stop (see, e.g., paragraph [0028]); and

Saraga's system employs a central internet service provider and the communication between the user mobile telephone and the controller occurs through a cellular telephone network which employs the system controller connected to a public switched telephone network PSTN instead of claimed short-range communications between the portable user, the service stations and the mobile service

Kado teaches communications between those three with the claimed short-range communications link (direct data communications, see, e.g., figure 1 and paragraph [0040]).

Also applicant argued limitations "only the bus which is the nearest from the bus stop from which the request has been emitted will stop" is not described in the current claims.